Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 1 of 10

Page 1 of 10

Doc. #SP.NY-166610

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

For

Interconnection Customer: Oakhill Solar 2 LLC Applicant: New PowerCo Inc.

5,000 kW PV Generator System

Interconnection to National Grid
NY East
Northeast Region
Cobleskill District
Delanson Substation
13.2 kV Feeder 26951

THIS DOCUMENT AND ANY ATTACHMENTS HERETO ("DOCUMENT") IS MADE AVAILABLE BY NATIONAL GRID UPON AND SUBJECT TO THE EXPRESS UNDERSTANDING THAT: (A) NEITHER NATIONAL GIRD NOR ANY OF ITS OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AFFILIATES, AGENTS, OR EMPLOYEES MAKES ANY WARRANTY, ASSURANCE, GUARANTY, OR REPRESENTATION WITH RESPECT TO THE CONTENTS OF THE DOCUMENT OR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED OR REFERENCED IN THE DOCUMENT, AND (B) NATIONAL GRID, ITS OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, AFFILIATES, AGENTS, AND EMPLOYEES SHALL NOT HAVE ANY LIABILITY OR RESPONSIBILITY FOR INACCURACIES, ERRORS, OR OMISSIONS IN, OR ANY BUSINESS OR POLICY DECISIONS MADE BY ANY DIRECT OR INDIRECT RECIPIENT IN RELIANCE ON, THIS DOCUMENT OR THE INFORMATION CONTAINED OR REFERENCED THEREIN; ALL SUCH LIABILITY IS EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMED.

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Doc. #SP.NY-166610

Page 2 of 10

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Secti	on on the state of	Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
2.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
3.0	COMPANY EPS PARAMETERS SO TEMPOTELLO NO TOPO TOPO TOPO TOPO TOPO TOPO TOPO	3
4.0	INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SITE	5
5.0	SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS	5
6.0	MITIGATIONS FOR SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS FAILURES	8
7.0	CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE	9

NY East
Northeast Region
Cobleskill District
Delanson Substation

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. #SP.NY-166610

Page 3 of 10

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the analysis results of the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation d/b/a National Grid ("National Grid" or the "Company") interconnection study based on the proposed interconnection and design submittal from the Interconnection Customer in accordance with the National Grid electric System Bulletin No. 75, Appendix B 'Distributed Generation Connected To National Grid Distribution Facilities Per The New York State Standardized Interconnection Requirements'. The intent of this report is to assess this project's feasibility, determine its impact to the existing electric power system (EPS), determine interconnection scope and installation requirements, and determine costs associated with interconnecting the Interconnection Customer's generation to the Company's Electric Power System (EPS). This Coordinated Electric System Impact Review (CESIR) study; according to the NYSSIR Section I.C Step 6; identifies the scope, schedule, and costs specific to this Interconnection Customer's installation requirements.

2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The total estimated planning grade cost of the work associated with the interconnection of the Interconnection Customer is \$153,177.

The interconnection with the Company EPS was found to not be feasible unless the following modifications to the Interconnecting Customers system are completed, which are described in further detail in the body of this Study.

The interconnection was found to be feasible with modifications to the existing Company EPS and operating conditions, which are described in detail in the body of this Study.

The proposed Energy Storage System (ESS) in combination with the generation component of this project shall at no time exceed the generation component of this project or 5,000 kW. The ESS is approved to release energy to the EPS 24 hours a day.

The ability to generate is contingent on this facility being served by the interconnecting circuit during normal Utility operating conditions. Therefore, if the interconnecting circuit is out of service, or if abnormal Utility operating conditions of the area EPS are in effect National Grid reserves the right to disengage the facility.

No future increase in generation output beyond that which specified herein for this interconnection has been studied. Any increase in system size and/or design change is subject to a new study and costs associated shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer. An increase in system size may also forfeit the Interconnection Customer's existing queue position.

3.0 COMPANY EPS PARAMETERS

Substation	Delanson 269
Transformer Name	TB1
Transformer Peak Load (MW)	8.6

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Doc. #SP.NY-166610

Page 4 of 10

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

Contingency Condition Load, N-1 Criteria (MW) (as applicable)	MOTT 3.4 TO STM 0.
Daytime Light Load (MW)	lane adjustin 2.1 m hones sid
Generation: Total, Connected, Queued (MW)	13.1, 3.1, 10.0
Contingency Condition Generation: Total, Connected, Queued (MW)	12.6, 2.6, 10.0
Supply Voltage (kV)	ational Gric 13.2 and Isnoths
Transformer Maximum Nameplate Rating (MVA)	14
Distribution Bus Voltage Regulation	Yes Have and
Transmission GFOV Status	installed and local
Bus Tie Delaniprood (243) motors 16wo 4 ontoeld 2 yragmo.	None
Number of Feeders Served from this Bus	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

Connecting Feeder/Line	26951
Peak Load on feeder (MW)	MMMUS 3 5.2 JOSXS 0.
[Daytime, 24 hour] Light Load on Feeder (MW)	he total esti 8.1 ted planning
Feeder Primary Voltage at POI (kV)	13.2
Line Phasing at POI	3
Distance to nearest 3-phase, (if applicable)	n/a
Line/Source Grounding Configuration at POI	effective
Other Generation: Total, Connected, Queued (MW)	12.6, 2.6, 10.0

System Fault Characteristics without Inter	erconnection Customer DG at POI		
Interconnection Customer POI Location	P182-2 Duanesburg Rd.		
I 3-phase (3LLL) of an energy and drive not sandmiss in (2)	1,425 Amps		
I Line to Ground (3I0)	1,116 Amps		
Z1 (100 MVA base)	1.4877 + j2.7113 PU		
Z0 (100 MVA base)	1.4289 + j5.5790 PU		

No future increase in generation output beyond that which specified herein for this interconnection has been studied. Any increase in system size and/or design change is subject to a new study and costs associated shall be borne by the Interconnection Customer. An increase in system size

3.0 COMPANY EPS PARAMETERS

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. #SP.NY-166610

Page 5 of 10

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

4.0 INTERCONNECTION CUSTOMER SITE

The Interconnection Customer is proposing new solar photovoltaic primary service connection with Account No. 1638576030

This location is presently served by the Company's 13.2kV radial distribution feeder 26951 from Delanson Substation.

The proposed generating system consists of:

- One hundred-eleven HUAWEI SUN2000-45KTL-US, 45kW, 600VAC, 3-Phase Inverters connected to
- Nine 800A, 3-Phase Powerboards, having a 800A main circuit breaker, through a 70A circuit breaker (1 per inverter x 11 per powerboard),
- Two 600A, 3-phase Powerboards, having a 450A main circuit breaker, through a 70A circuit breaker (1 per inverter x 6 per powerboard.)
- Two 2500kVA 2 Winding Design 600V 13.2kV step-up transformers
- The outputs of both transformers are then connected to a customer-owned riser pole.
 This then continues on to
- A customer-owned primary service that consists of a customer-owned gang operated air break switch.
 - A 75kVA, 3-Phase grounding transformer with Z= 5% and X/R = 6] configured wye-ground/delta is connected on the primary side of the step-up transformers utilizing a 51G ground overcurrent relay through a customer owner recloser

5.0 SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS

Category	Criteria	Limit	Result	
Voltage	Overvoltage	< 105% (ANSI C84.1)	Fail	
With the add 105.27% of n	ition of the subject generator the maxim ominal.	num voltage as modeled on the F	eeder is	
Voltage	Undervoltage	> 95% (ANSI C84.1)	Pass	
With the add nominal. Voltage	Substation Regulation for Reverse	Reverse Power on LTC	Pass	
Feeders is 2.3	eration on Feeders 26951 and 26952 is a 15 MW. Therefore, the generation to loa onto the transmission system. TB2 alrea in is required.	d ratio is 609% and reverse power	er can flow	

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. #SP.NY-166610

Page 6 of 10

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

MW. Due to do	eration downstream of 3-333kVA volt ownstream DG in queue, the subject vill experience reverse power flow.		
Voltage	Fluctuation	<3% steady state from proposed generation on feeder	Pass
The resulting f	oltage fluctuation on the feeder occuluctuation at the feeder location is 2 bus due to the aggregate generation	.1% due to the proposed generation	
Voltage	Flicker	Screen H Flicker	Pass
The Pst for the	location with the greatest voltage fl	uctuation is 0.178 and the emissior	ns limit is 0.35.
Equipment Ratings	Thermal (continuous current)	< 100% thermal limits	Fail
	ne Delanson substation getaway con- ility of 440 A. Due to the proposed go th 366.4 SAL. Withstand (fault current)		
Ratings	recoloer tenwo remoleus sin	guosidi velet inemiorevo briudio	arë.
	fault current contribution from the gas of existing EPS equipment.	generation does not contribute to i	nterrupting
Protection	Unintentional Islanding	Unintentional Islanding Document & Company Guidelines	Fail
Company's crit	nerator is a 5 MW PV generation systeria for islanding a distributed resour protection and control package.		
Protection	Protective device coordination	Company Guidelines	Fail
Alexander Roa Company's crit recloser to ena already equipp R99541 reclose replacement o	reclosers between the subject gener d and recloser R99543 on Duanesburteria for islanding a distributed resoundle voltage supervised reclose on the ded with this functionality and does rear are required to maintain coordinate f R99543 and setting changes for R99 in the queue.	rg Road. The subject generator exc irce, necessitating the replacement e DER side of the recloser. The R99 not need to be replaced. Setting cha tion with the R99543 recloser. How 9541 are already planned under an	ceeds the of the R99543 9541 recloser is anges to the wever the in-process DER
	customer owned recloser for site over		dequate

be submitted to the Company for acceptance review.

#SP.NY-168610

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Page 7 of 10

Doc. #SP.NY-166610

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

Protection	Fault Sensitivity	equipment equipment						
a significant in	show that contribution from the subject ncrease in fault current seen by utility ed tion of the subject generator is within th	quipment. Aggregate source fault	contribution					
Protection Ground Fault Detection Reduction of reach > x% (by Utility)								
impedance of 33.686 for a v will contribute	section Customer has proposed a 13.2kl 5%. To be within Company guidelines the oltage base of 13.2kl. With this ground approximately 60A of 310 current to recc.	ne grounding bank shall have an in ing bank in place the Interconnect	mpedance of tion Customer					
faults at the PCC. Protection Overvoltage - Transmission System Company 3V0 criteria Fail								
	Fault n to load ratio on the serving distribution	age keep leighted being						
The generatio threshold in w distribution so been determin	Fault enibnels	n system has failed the Company age become an electrical hazard of existing EPS has been performed	's planning due to the d and it has					
The generatio threshold in w distribution so been determin incorporated a	Fault n to load ratio on the serving distribution which transmission ground fault overvolt purce contribution. An evaluation of the med that protection mitigation methods	n system has failed the Company age become an electrical hazard of existing EPS has been performed	's planning due to the d and it has					
The generation threshold in with distribution so been determined incorporated and Protection	rault n to load ratio on the serving distribution which transmission ground fault overvolt ource contribution. An evaluation of the ned that protection mitigation methods at Delanson Station. Overvoltage - Distribution System Fault generator interconnected the modeled was provided to the contribution of the new fault.	n system has failed the Company age become an electrical hazard of existing EPS has been performed are required. However, 3V0 is alr	's planning due to the d and it has ready Pass					
The generation threshold in ward distribution so been determined incorporated and Protection	rault n to load ratio on the serving distribution which transmission ground fault overvolt ource contribution. An evaluation of the ned that protection mitigation methods at Delanson Station. Overvoltage - Distribution System Fault generator interconnected the modeled was provided to the contribution of the new fault.	n system has failed the Company age become an electrical hazard of existing EPS has been performed are required. However, 3V0 is alr	's planning due to the d and it has ready Pass					
The generation threshold in was distribution so been determined and protection with subject graystem is 1249. Protection	rault n to load ratio on the serving distribution which transmission ground fault overvolts ource contribution. An evaluation of the need that protection mitigation methods at Delanson Station. Overvoltage - Distribution System Fault generator interconnected the modeled volume.	n system has failed the Company age become an electrical hazard of existing EPS has been performed are required. However, 3V0 is alrowledge of the control o	's planning due to the d and it has ready Pass ses of the					
The generation threshold in was distribution so been determined and protection. With subject good system is 1249. Protection.	rault n to load ratio on the serving distribution which transmission ground fault overvolt burce contribution. An evaluation of the need that protection mitigation methods at Delanson Station. Overvoltage - Distribution System Fault generator interconnected the modeled with the control of the modeled with the control of the modeled with the mo	n system has failed the Company age become an electrical hazard of existing EPS has been performed are required. However, 3V0 is alrowledge of the control o	's planning due to the d and it has ready Pass ses of the					
The generation threshold in was distribution so been determined and protection. With subject good system is 1249. Protection. With subject good system is 1249. Protection.	Fault In to load ratio on the serving distribution which transmission ground fault overvolts ource contribution. An evaluation of the need that protection mitigation methods at Delanson Station. Overvoltage - Distribution System Fault Generator interconnected the modeled with the control of the need to be a serviced from the need to be a serviced from the need to be a serviced from the needed f	n system has failed the Company age become an electrical hazard of existing EPS has been performed are required. However, 3V0 is alrowally coltage rise on the unfaulted phase R0/X1 < 1 and X0/X1 < 3 R0/X1 is 0.3874 PU and the X0/X1 Monitoring & Control Requirements	's planning due to the d and it has ready Pass ses of the Pass is 1.9712 PU Fail					

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. #SP.NY-166610
Page 8 of 10

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

6.0 MITIGATIONS FOR SYSTEM IMPACT ANALYSIS FAILURES

Detail below is intended to provide sufficient information and clarity to give the Interconnection Customer an understanding to the relationship of costs and scope associated with the DER interconnection and the system modifications due to the DER impact. Where scope items are identified, associated labor, equipment rentals and indirect project support functions (such as engineering and project management) are intended and implied.

Upgrade Required	Option 1	Failures Addressed
3VO Substation cost sharing mechanism	\$0	Overvoltage - Transmission System Fault
National Grid protection and control package	\$102,518	Unintentional Islanding
Reconductor ~ 200ft of primary overhead 4/0 CU conductor	\$25,812	Thermal Limits
SCADA Integration	\$6,848	Required EMS Visibility for Generation Sources

Additional details on the scope of each option can be found below:

The Distribution upgrades required to facilitate the proposed installation include the following:

- National Grid Protection and Control Package
- SCADA Integration (equipment integrated into the PCC recloser)
- Reconductor ~ 200ft of primary overhead 4/0 CU conductor from the Delanson Substation to P.11. Replace 4/0 CU with 336.4 SAL.

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Doc. #SP.NY-166610
Page 9 of 10

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

7.0 CONCEPTUAL COST ESTIMATE

The following items are a good faith estimate for the scope and work required to interconnect the project estimated under rates and schedules in effect at the time of this study in accordance with the most recent version of the New York State Standardized Interconnection Requirements ("SIR").

Planning Grade Estimate

*		Table	7-1: Estin	nate				
National Grid Work Segment	Planning		Estimate no	t including	Capital portion for calculating tax liability	Tax Liability Applied to Capital	Customer Cost Totals	
Description of Scope	Material	Labor	Overheads	Pre-Tax Total	Capital Costs	Rate		
Distribution System Modifications						14.14%		
National Grid Protection and Control Package (Recloser, Switches, and Poles)	\$40,289 \$ 20,573 \$ 29,3	\$ 40,289	\$ 29,344 \$ 90,205 \$ 87,	90,205 \$ 87,075	\$ 12,312	\$ 102,517		
SCADA Integration (equipment integrated into PCC Recloser)	\$ 4,000	\$ -	\$ 2,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 848	\$ 6,848	
Reconductor ~200' of primary overhead	\$ 2,832	\$ 10,168	\$ 10,605	\$ 23,605	\$ 15,605	\$ 2,207	\$ 25,812	
Substation Modifications					Table 1	14.14%		
Non-System Costs						0%		
Customer Documentation Review, Field Verification and Witness Testing		\$12,000	\$ 6,000	\$ 18,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,000	
Total Project Costs:	\$ 47,121	\$ 42,741	\$ 47,949	\$ 137,810	\$ 108,680	\$ 15,368	\$ 153,177	
Dline Summary	\$ 47,121	\$ 42,741	\$ 47,949	\$ 137,810	\$ 108,680	\$ 15,367	\$ 153,177	
Station Summary	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Total	\$ 47,121	\$ 42,741	\$ 47,949	\$ 137,810	\$ 108,680	\$ 15,367	\$ 153,177	

Notes:

- 1. These estimated costs are based upon the results of this study and are subject to change. All costs anticipated to be incurred by the Company are listed.
- The Company will reconcile actual charges upon project completion and the Interconnection Customer will be responsible for all final charges, which may be higher or lower than estimated according to the SIR I.C step 11.
- 3. This estimate does not include the following:
 - additional interconnection study costs, or study rework
 - additional application fees,
 - applicable surcharges,
 - property taxes,
 - overall project sales tax,
 - · future operation and maintenance costs,
 - adverse field conditions such as weather and Interconnection Customer equipment obstructions,
 - extended construction hours to minimize outage time or Company's public duty to serve,

Coordinated Electric System Interconnect Review

Doc. #SP.NY-166610

Page 10 of 10

Distributed Energy Resources - NYSSIR

Version 3.1 - 4/19/2019

- · the cost of any temporary construction service, or
- · any required permits.
- 4. Cost adders estimated for overtime would be based on 1.5 and 2 times labor rates if required for work beyond normal business hours. Per Diems are also extra costs potentially incurred for overtime labor.

				,			

- These estimated costs are based upon the results of this study and are subject to
- The Company will reconcile actual charges upon project completion and the interconnection Customer will be responsible for all final charges, which may be higher or lower than estimated according to the SIR LC step 11.
 - This estimate does not include the following:
 - additional interconnection study costs, or study rework
 - soor moreomide remonitore ...
 - 'eaftieunine ainenuide ...
 - vet calca tapian llesave.
 - future constraint and maintenance cost
- adverse field conditions such as weather and interconnection Customer equipment
- extended construction hours to minimize outage time or Company's public duty to